

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/893,586	06/29/2001	Takuya Homme	046124-5085	5616	
9629	7590 02/14/2003				
	LEWIS & BOCKIUS		EXAMINER		
	SYLVANIA AVENUE N ON, DC 20004	NW .	GABOR,	OTILIA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2878		
DATE MAILE			DATE MAILED: 02/14/2003	;	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7
•	09/893,586	HOMME ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Otilia Gabor	2878	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reposition the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communionation (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 J	<u>une 2001</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under <i>l</i> Disposition of Claims			rits is
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are pending in the applicat	ion		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Wir Hoffi Consideration.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 29 June 2001 is/are: a)	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected	to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyar	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		sapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			
 Certified copies of the priority documents 			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	·	<u> </u>	
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prioring application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the prioring application. 	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•	•
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional appli	cation).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro- 15)☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	• •		
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3-4	5) Notice of Inf	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/893,586

Art Unit: 2878

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1, and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kingsley et al. (U. S. Patent 5179284).

Kingsley et al. discloses a radiation detection device comprising a photodetector array 20 disposed on a substrate 15 and a scintillator 30 disposed on top of the photodetector array in a columnar fashion. The top of the scintillator columns are continuously covered with an organic layer 40 so that the gaps between the tops of the columns are also covered. Kingsley also uses a moisture barrier layer 50 disposed on

Art Unit: 2878

top and all around the scintillator so that it covers the scintillator and connects with the substrate on which the scintillator is disposed. Kingsley does not disclose that the organic layer 40 is disposed all around the scintillator, however given that the organic layer is used to provide a stable and smooth surface to which the moisture barrier 50 can adhere to, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to cover all sides of the scintillator with the organic layer since good adherence of the moisture barrier layer to the scintillator is desired all around the scintillator, not only on the top. In the alternative it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have an organic layer as the moisture barrier 50, for it satisfies the same function as the claimed organic layer, namely, that is moisture resistant and since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 227 F.2d 197, 125 USPQ 416 (CCPA 1960).

Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Kingsley et al. (U. S. Patent 5187369), Ishaque et al. (U. S. Patent 5288989), Liu et al. (U. S. Patent 6392254).
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Otilia Gabor whose telephone number is 703-305-0384. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday between 8am-5pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/893,586

Art Unit: 2878

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Porta can be reached on 703-308-4852. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

og February 5, 2003 CONSTANTINE HANNAHER
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP ART UNIT 2878